



EU Project

„Strengthening the capacity of the institutions of selected EU-countries in the field of implementation of regulations no. 883/2004 and 987/2009 and introduction of electronic data exchange (EESSI)“

Final Conference – 14.5.2012





Partners in the project

Promoter

Czech Social Security Administration, Czech Republic

Partners

National Training Fund, Czech Republic

Social Insurance Institution, Finland

Ministry of Labour and Social Policy, Bulgaria

Agency for Assistance, Bulgaria

National Health Insurance Fund, Bulgaria

National Social Security Institute, Bulgaria

Central Administration of National Pension Insurance,
Hungary

http://en.nvf.cz/projects/page/2/keyword//team_id//theme_id





Main activities of the project

I. National reports

II. Thematic 3-days workshops

- national EESSI projects
- EESSI developments
- discussions on issues proposed by organizer
- outcomes and recommendations
- planning

Finland 11.-13.4. 2011

Bulgaria 19.-21.9. 2011

Czech Republic 23.-25.11. 2011

Hungary 21.-23.3. 2012

III. Final conference Prague 14.5. 2012

IV. Final report





Project recommendations and outcomes

Recommendations on different issues and levels,
incl. transitional period, EESSI implementation and
security policy:

- **General recommendations and outcomes to „ALL“**
- **Recommendations to all partners**
- ***Recommendations to partners and country, where the seminar is held***





Outcomes from Helsinki

General recommendations to „ALL“:

1. WEBIC

- At least a search function by PIN should be included in WEBIC
- The ICD3 solution should be realised

A: new WEBIC realease

- Workshop on WEBIC as soon as possible

A: EU planning after new version WEBIC





Outcomes from Helsinki

2. Transitional period

- Procedures for the transition from paper to electronic should be decided, probably for each sector
 - When the case has been started with paper and the case is still pending when the transfer to electronic exchange has happened
 - When a Member state / institution terminate all paper communication, how to communicate with those who use only paper forms?

A: March 2012 - AC Ad hoc Group was established

- Possible prolonging of the transitional period
 - Prolonging recommendation E1

A: The transitional period has been prolonged until 04/2014





Outcomes from Helsinki

3. MD/PAI

- The content of MD/PAI should be improved
- New guidelines to be created for filling out the information as soon as possible in order to safe-guard the usability of the MD/PAI

A: review of MD by MSs in process
task for all project partners





Outcomes from Helsinki

4. Preparatory period for the end users

- The project countries feel that the MSs/institutions would need at minimum three months for preparations of the end users of WEBIC

A: EESSI plan takes in account this requirement





Outcomes from Helsinki

General recommendations to the project countries

All project countries should:

- check whether their national legislation is compatible with the European legislation related to EESSI
- pay attention to the fact that the costs of EESSI will be relatively high at the national level
- pay special attention to the cooperation with those countries which they have most cases with

A: tasks for national preparations in MSs



Outcomes from Sofia

General recommendations for the transitional period to „ALL“:

1. All project countries should keep working actively towards EESSI even in the perspective of extension of the transitional period.

A: task for national preparations in MSs

2. Implementation of EESSI should occur as soon as possible – sector by sector with coordinated bilateral and multilateral agreements.

A: task for national preparations in MSs

3. There should be clear rules on how to proceed during the transitional period, taking into account all aspects – legal, administrative, technical.

A: task for DG and project stakeholders, AHG for legal and administrative issues established





Outcomes from Sofia

4. There must be a coordinated and transparent access to EESSI during the transitional period.

A: task for DG and EESSI project team

5. The precise definition of „T0“ is needed.

A: the result of reflection period

6. Detailed, clear and comprehensive technical manuals with examples on updated versions should be provided.

A: task for DG and developers

7. The transliteration issues should be clarified both from technical and business side.

A: the result of reflection period ??? Unified approach desirable





Outcomes from Sofia

General recommendations to „ALL“ regarding EESSI:

1. There should be sufficient EC resources to react on time and make updates to documentation.

A: task for DG and EESSI project team

2. Deeper and more comprehensive business testing.

A: task for EESSI project team and testing countries

3. Development of road map (AC 490 Annex 1) should be clarified in the sense of explanation of each JIRA ticket.

A: task for DG and EESSI project team





Outcomes from Sofia

General outcomes for security policy to „ALL“:

1. There should be a new possibility to define more roles in WEBIC /for security purposes/.

A: task for SEF and developers – new WEBIC Version?





Outcomes from Prague

General outcomes to „ALL“ regarding EESSI:

1. Compliance with „T0“ as a basic condition to reach goals on time.

A: the result of reflection period

2. Training guidelines and documentation to be prepared carefully and in a useful way and supported by DG.

A: task for DG and developers

3. Providing different type of documentation for developers and for end-users.

A: task for DG and developers

4. The problem of transliteration should be solved.

A: the result of reflection period ???





Outcomes from Prague

General recommendations to „ALL“ on security policy:

1. Adjust the timetable of SEF tasks in compliance with „T0“.
A: prolongation of „T0“ should avoid this or at least minimize it





Outcomes from Prague

2. Risks to be avoided before „T0“:

- Certification procedure of AP before launching real exchange
- To use secure channels (https) on the national level
- Limited ability send digitally signed document
- User authentication of WEBICc users is stored in a text file
- The “sensitive data” could be manipulated by all users in equivalent WEBIC roles
- There is no mechanism for data validation in ICD interfaces
- The data in attached documents could be with any extensions – pdf, doc, etc.
- There is no data protection against data bomb
- No possibility for WEBIC integration with the local infrastructure

A: tasks for SEF and developers





Outcomes from Prague

Recommendations to all project countries:

1. Prepare training especially for the business testers.
2. Prepare necessary resources for testing and training.
3. There should be vital cooperation between business and IT experts.
4. AP's national organizational structure for EESSI project should be adapted to existing organizational structure before and after EESSI running/production.
5. Reconsider identification of competent institutions in MD plus attribution of flows and form a horizontal group for such issues if not existing yet.

A: task for national preparations in MSs





Outcomes from Budapest

Recommendations to „ALL“:

1. The EC is recommended to highlight the importance of the well-coordinated **project management on EU level**, with special attention to the reconciliation of the work of various forums involved into the EESSI-preparation on the EU level (AC, TC, SC, SEF, ad hoc groups, testing countries meetings etc.).

A: task for DG EMPL – should be the result of the beginning of reflection period as pre-condition for further works and activities





Outcomes from Budapest

2. The **representative of the EC should take part in the testing as observer**. The involvement of the competent staff, the EC could bring a direct experience on the results of bilateral/multilateral tests and thus further steps could be taken on the basis of common understanding of one and the same technical and business issue.

A: Task for DG EMPL

3. Testing procedure should have **the same or similar scenario** for testing countries and EC in order to avoid so huge differences in testing result.

A: task for DG EMPL and EESSI project team and testing countries





Outcomes from Budapest

4. Clear **guidelines for non-testing countries** would contribute to the smooth involvement of these countries into bilateral tests. Guidelines should cover all relevant technical and financial/budgetary information which facilitates independent preparation for testing. The guidelines have to be drafted in cooperation with the testing countries.

A: task for DG EMPL, developers and testing countries

5. Taking into account the long run process of EESSI preparation and its consequences on EU level, the EC is to be inclined to be more serious about the outcomes of actions and **consider the implications on national level.**

A: decisions (incl. changes in planning) on deferent levels (AC,TC,SC)





Thank you = Děkujeme

